

Freedom of Information request: Reference number FOI2026/01199

Date of request: 24th September

Request:

LULI COURT, TRIM STREET, LONDON, SE14 6FB (Ref 90/233421/PG)

Specifically, where an extension to the compliance period specified in the Enforcement Notice was requested, considered, granted or refused, I request the following information:

All written communications

Copies of all correspondence, emails, letters, memoranda, reports, file notes, meeting notes and internal communications relating to:

- any request for an extension of time;
- consideration of such request;
- the decision to grant, refuse, or vary any extension;
- monitoring of compliance with the Enforcement Notice.

Records of oral communications

Copies of any notes, minutes, file records, transcripts, call logs, contemporaneous notes, diary entries or summaries of telephone conversations, meetings, site visits or other oral discussions relating to:

- imposing the notice;
- requests for extension;
- compliance with the Enforcement Notice;
- deliberations regarding whether an extension should be granted.

Decision-making documents

Copies of any assessments, recommendations, reports, briefing papers, risk assessments, decision records or authorisations used in determining whether an extension should be granted.

Criteria applied

Details of the statutory, policy, operational or other criteria applied when determining:

- whether an extension should be granted;
- the length of any extension granted;
- whether the Responsible Person had demonstrated sufficient progress towards compliance.

Copies of any guidance, policies, procedures, frameworks or internal instructions relied upon by officers making that determination.

Evidence considered

Copies of documents, reports, surveys, certificates, contractor updates, progress reports, photographs or other evidence submitted by or on behalf of the Responsible Person that were considered when deciding whether to extend the compliance period.

Authority and governance

Details of the officer(s) and position(s) responsible for determining any extension request.
Copies of any delegated authority documents identifying who may approve extensions to Enforcement Notices under Article 30 of the Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005.

Compliance monitoring

Records of inspections, audits, progress reviews or compliance assessments undertaken between the date of the Enforcement Notice and the date on which any extension decision was made.

Response:

I refer to your request for information received on 24 September 2026, which has been processed under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA).

The London Fire Brigade (LFB) holds information falling within the scope of your request. Copies of the formal correspondence relating to the Enforcement Notice, the request for an extension of the compliance period and the Brigade's decision have been attached.

The remaining information held within the scope of your request comprises internal correspondence, file notes, compliance assessments, inspection records, deliberations, recommendations, reports, monitoring records and other documents relating to the Brigade's consideration of compliance with the Enforcement Notice and any request to extend the compliance period. This includes records of inspections, audits, progress reviews and compliance assessments undertaken by the Brigade, together with documents and evidence submitted by or on behalf of the Responsible Person, including progress reports, contractor updates, photographs, certificates and other supporting material considered as part of the enforcement process.

This information is exempt from disclosure under [section 31\(1\)\(g\), by virtue of section 31\(2\)\(c\), of the Freedom of Information Act 2000](#).

[Section 31\(1\)\(g\), by virtue of section 31\(2\)\(c\)](#), applies where disclosure would, or would be likely to, prejudice the exercise by a public authority of its functions for the purposes of ascertaining whether circumstances exist which would justify regulatory action.

The requested information relates directly to the Brigade's statutory fire safety enforcement functions under the Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005. Disclosure would reveal the Brigade's regulatory approach, compliance assessment processes, internal deliberations, enforcement considerations and compliance monitoring activities. The Brigade considers that disclosure would be likely to prejudice its ability to exercise those regulatory functions effectively.

As section 31 is a qualified exemption, LFB has considered the public interest test.

The Brigade recognises the public interest in transparency and accountability regarding its enforcement activities. However, there is a stronger public interest in ensuring that the Brigade can carry out its statutory functions effectively and secure compliance with fire safety legislation. Disclosure of information relating to compliance assessments, regulatory deliberations and enforcement considerations would be likely to prejudice those functions and could undermine the effectiveness of current and future enforcement activity.

Accordingly, LFB has concluded that the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosure.

In response to the part of your request seeking details of the criteria applied when determining whether an extension should be granted, LFB can advise that such decisions are made in accordance with the statutory framework established by the Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005, together with the Brigade's published enforcement policies and relevant government guidance.

The following information is publicly available and reasonably accessible:

- London Fire Commissioner Enforcement Policy Statement
https://www.london-fire.gov.uk/media/7171/enforcement_policy_statement.pdf
- LFB: Enforce fire safety legislation
<https://www.london-fire.gov.uk/about-us/what-we-do/enforce-fire-safety-legislation/>
- Government guidance: Enforcement and sanctions for non-compliance with the Fire Safety Order
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/fire-safety-order-enforcement-and-sanctions-for-non-compliance/enforcement-and-sanctions-for-non-compliance-accessible>
- Government guidance: Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005 - Enforcement
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/regulatory-reform-fire-safety-order-2005-guidance-note-enforcement/fire-safety-order-supplement-to-guidance-note-1-enforcement>

When determining whether an extension to an Enforcement Notice compliance period should be granted, officers consider the individual circumstances of the case, including the nature and severity of the identified fire safety deficiencies, the actions undertaken by the Responsible Person to achieve compliance, evidence of progress made, any barriers affecting completion of remedial works and the level of risk to relevant persons. Decisions are made on a case-by-case basis having regard to the relevant legislative and policy framework.

You also requested details of the officer(s) responsible for determining any extension request.

LFB can confirm that decisions relating to extensions are made by Inspecting Officers acting under delegated authority and in accordance with the Brigade's enforcement and governance arrangements. However, the names of individual officers below senior management level are exempt from disclosure under [section 40\(2\) of the Freedom of Information Act 2000](#).

Section 40(2) applies because the information constitutes personal data and disclosure into the public domain would be unfair and would contravene the first data protection principle set out in UK data protection legislation.

Information held regarding the internal consideration, recommendation and approval of the extension forms part of the Brigade's decision-making process and is exempt from disclosure under section 31(1)(g), by virtue of section 31(2)(c), of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 for the reasons set out above.

The extension correspondence provided records the decision taken in this case. Authority to exercise powers under the Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005 is provided through the Brigade's governance and authorisation arrangements.

We have dealt with your request under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. For more information about this process please see the guidance we publish about making a request on our website: <https://www.london-fire.gov.uk/about-us/transparency/request-information-from-us/>

The Chief Executive
Celestial Church of Christ Edward Street Parish
St. Marks Centre
Edward Street
London
SE14 6DX

The London Fire Commissioner is the
fire and rescue authority for London

Date 1 September 2025
Our Ref 90/233421

ENFORCEMENT NOTICE

**Notice requiring steps to be taken under Article 30 of the
Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005 (as amended)**

TO :

Name: **Celestial Church of Christ Edward Street Parish**

Address: **St. Marks Centre, Edward Street, London, SE14 6DX**

Concerning Premises at: **Luli Court, Trim Street, London, SE14 6FB**

The London Fire Commissioner (the Commissioner) is the fire and rescue authority for London. The Commissioner is responsible for enforcing the Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005 (as amended), hereafter referenced as ('The Order') in London.

I, [REDACTED] Assistant Commissioner (Prevention & Protection) on behalf of the Commissioner, hereby give you notice that the Commissioner is of the opinion that you, as a person being under an obligation to do so, have failed to comply with the duties placed upon you by the Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005 (as amended) (The Order) in respect of the above named premises, the relevant persons who may be on the premises or who may be affected by a fire on the premises.

The matters which, in the opinion of the Commissioner, constitute the failure(s) to comply with The Order are specified in the Schedule of Fire Safety Observations attached to this notice. The Commissioner is further of the opinion that the steps identified in the schedule to this notice must be taken to remedy the specified failure(s) and comply with The Order.

The relevant extracts of the legislation are attached.

There may be suitable alternative safety measures, to those detailed in this notice that would meet the requirements of The Order. If you wish to propose or discuss any alternative measures you should contact the person named below, before you take any action, to ensure that your proposed measures will be deemed satisfactory by the Commissioner.

The steps must be taken by **31 August 2026** (or such extension if granted by the Commissioner).

Unless the steps identified in the schedule attached to this notice have been complied with, or such other steps are taken to remedy the failures in consultation with the Commissioner, you will be deemed not to have complied with this notice.

If you fail to comply with the requirements of this notice, you may have committed an offence. The Commissioner may consider a prosecution against you. If you are found guilty, you will be liable to a fine or imprisonment (or both).

You have the right to appeal against this notice, by way of complaint for an order, to the Clerk to the Court of the Magistrates' Court acting for the petty sessions area in which your premises is located. If you wish to bring an appeal, you must do so within 21 days of the date this notice is served on you. The Magistrates' Court Act 1980 will apply to the proceedings. The bringing of an appeal will suspend the operation of this enforcement notice. An appeal against an enforcement notice served under Article 30 of the Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005 (as amended), may be brought on any grounds. These may include that you are aggrieved:

- a) by anything mentioned in the notice with respect to the premises concerned, or the relevant persons as defined by The Order, being a step which must be taken in order to comply with The Order; or
- b) by the period allowed by such a notice for the taking of any steps mentioned in it.

If at any time you wish to discuss the requirements of this notice, or are experiencing difficulty in carrying out the work, please contact **Inspecting Officer** [REDACTED]

Signed:

[REDACTED]

Dated: 1 September 2025

Assistant Commissioner
(The Officer appointed for the purpose)

The contents of this notice are without prejudice to any requirements or recommendations that may be made by the Commissioner under the Petroleum (Consolidation) Regulations 2014, or either the local authority or the Health and Safety Executive under any other Act of Parliament or Regulation for which they are the enforcing authority. Approval will normally be required under the Building Regulations for any building works for which you are obliged to notify the local Building Control Officer under the Building Regulations 2010 or an Approved Inspector under the Building (Approved Inspectors etc) Regulations, 2010.

Reply to Inspecting Officer [REDACTED]
Direct T 020 8555 1200 [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] [@london-fire.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@london-fire.gov.uk)

Encl: FS03_01a
FS03_01b
FS03_06

Cc: The Secretary & Trustee, Celestial Church of Christ Edward Street Parish, St. Marks Centre,
Edward Street, London, SE14 6DX

[REDACTED], VFM Procurement Limited, 137-139 High Street, Beckenham,
Kent, BR3 1AG

Deptford Challenge Trust: [REDACTED] [@lewisham.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@lewisham.gov.uk)

ENVIRONMENT AND SAFETY INFORMATION ACT 1988

SECTION 4 - PROTECTION OF TRADE SECRETS

The above Act requires the London Fire Commissioner to maintain public registers of notices issued under Article 30 of the Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005 (as amended), (other than those which impose requirements or prohibitions solely for the protection of persons at work) and Sections 21 and 22 of the Health and Safety at Work etc, Act 1974.

Provisions are made within the Act for persons on whom the above notices are served to appeal against any proposed entry in the register which may disclose "trade secrets" or "secret manufacturing processes".

Entries in the register are required to be made after the period for appeal against the notice expires or after any appeal is disposed of.

If you feel that any such entry would disclose information about a trade secret or secret manufacturing process you may write to the Commissioner within a period of 14 days following the service of the notice, requesting exclusion of these details (see Section 4 of the 1988 Act).

Notes relating to Schedule of Fire Safety Audit Observations attached to this notice.

Important information to consider before taking remedial steps:

1. Words written in BLOCK CAPITALS in the attached schedule are standard terms defined in "Definitions of standard terms used in means of escape requirements" which form part of this schedule.
2. Officers of the Commissioner may visit your premises during the course of the notice, to ensure the dates within this plan are being followed.
3. Notwithstanding any consultation undertaken by the Commissioner, **before** you make any alterations to the premises, **you** must apply for local authority building control department approval (and/or the approval of any other bodies having a statutory interest in the premises) if their permission is required for those alterations to be made.
4. There may be suitable alternative safety measures to those detailed in the attached schedule, which would meet the requirements of The Order. If you wish to propose or discuss any alternative measures you should get in touch with the person named as the contact above, before you take any action, to ensure that your proposed measures are deemed satisfactory by the Commissioner.
5. Remedial steps must be undertaken by a competent person who has sufficient training, experience, knowledge or other qualities to enable him or her to properly undertake them.
6. We recommend that remedial steps are undertaken in accordance with the appropriate British or European Standards, or recognised industry guidance.

SCHEDULE

PREMISES: Luli Court, Trim Street, London, SE14 6FB

FILE NUMBER: 90/233421

This schedule should be read in conjunction with the Commissioner's Notice dated **1 September 2025**.

The condition(s) specified in the Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005 (as amended), were being contravened and the following step(s) need(s) to be taken in order to comply with the above legislation:

Article	Area of Concern	Steps Considered necessary to remedy the contravention.
Article 11	At the time of the audit your preventative and protective measures had not been planned, organised, controlled, monitored or reviewed where required. It was found that: 1) A Fire Risk Appraisal of External Walls (FRAEW) in accordance with Publicly Available Specification 9980 (PAS 9980:2022) had not been planned and organised. 2) The removal of the automatic fire detection and warning system in accordance with the findings of the fire risk assessment had not been planned and organised; presenting a risk of confusing relevant persons and causing them to take inappropriate steps to evacuate the premises instead of following stay put procedures. 3) The common parts escape routes had not been controlled and monitored to ensure they were kept clear so that it is possible for persons to evacuate the premises as quickly and as safely as possible. 4) Remedial works had not been planned and organised to ensure the provision of normal lighting on the ground floor at the rear of the premises.	Arrangements identified as not suitably addressed must be effectively planned, organised, controlled, monitored or reviewed.

Article11 (Cont'd)	5) Remedial works had not been planned and organised to ensure locks and fastenings of the front fire exit door can be easily and immediately opened, by any person who may require using it, in an emergency and during an electricity power outage. 6) A fire stopping survey by a competent person had not been planned and organised. 7) A fire door survey for all flat front doors, lobby doors, and service cupboard doors by a competent person had not been planned and organised. 8) The under croft at the rear of the premises and the perimeter of the premises had not been controlled and monitored to ensure the timely removal of all combustible items, contributing to fire loading. 9) The storage of the key which operates the fire alarm panel had not been controlled and monitored to ensure the fire detection and warning system cannot be activated accidentally or maliciously.	
Article 14	At the time of the audit the emergency routes or exits were inadequate. It was found that: 1) Emergency escape routes were not kept clear because there was a pram, a tricycle, two scooters, a washing machine, and multiple electric bikes stored in the single escape staircase. Additionally, multiple items were also stored in the lobbies providing access to the flat front doors. These obstructions present a significant risk of impeding the safe and timely evacuation of persons in the event of fire and increase the fire loading in the PROTECTED ROUTES.	Ensure adequate emergency routes and exits, for use by relevant persons in the premises, are available and can be safely and effectively used at all relevant times. This can be achieved by: 1) Ensuring all emergency escape routes are kept clear to reduce fire loading and to ensure that it is possible for persons to evacuate the premises as quickly and as safely as possible in accordance with the findings of the fire risk assessment.

Article 14 (Cont'd)	2) Multiple electric intake/riser cupboards located in the corridors and staircase forming part of the PROTECTED ROUTE had cabling penetrations that were not suitably fire stopped and were inappropriately sealed using excessive expanding foam. 3) Multiple electric intake/riser cupboards located in the corridors and staircase forming part of the PROTECTED ROUTE had doors that did not provide suitable FIRE RESISTANCE. It was found that the doors had intumescent strips and seals that were damaged and/or missing, the casings for lock inserts were missing and the doors were not fitted with suitable fire rated hinges. 4) The lobbies used for access to the flat front doors did not provide suitable periods of FIRE RESISTANCE to ensure the protection of relevant persons who may require use of the common parts corridors and the staircase as a PROTECTED ROUTE for safe escape from the premises in case of fire. It was found that multiple lobby doors were not fitted with suitable fire rated hinges and did not suitably self close into their door frames (e.g., lobby doors to flats 8, 9, and 10). 5) In the event of an emergency involving an electricity power outage, the front fire exit door could not be easily and immediately opened by the thumb turn mechanism and the emergency door override (green switch) was also non-operational and had been removed. 6) The normal lighting within the escape route on the ground floor at the rear of the premises was faulty and the borrowed lighting in this area did not provide illumination of adequate intensity.	2) Appointing one or more competent persons to review all fire stopping in accordance with the findings of the fire risk assessment, and subsequently arrange a schedule of remedies and works. 3) and 4) Appointing one or more competent persons to carry out a fire door survey for all lobby doors and service cupboard doors in accordance with the findings of the fire risk assessment, and subsequently arrange a schedule of remedies and works. 5) Providing suitable locks, fastenings, and emergency door overrides to ensure the front fire exit door can be easily and immediately opened by any person who may require using it in an emergency, including in the event of electricity power outage. 6) Providing illumination of adequate intensity within the escape routes at all material times.
--------------------------------	---	---

Article 15	At the time of the audit your procedures to be followed in the event of serious and imminent danger were inadequate. It was found that the emergency plan does not give effect to the appropriate procedures to be followed for the stay put strategy by all relevant persons. There were manual call points and sounders provided in the common parts, which were not linked to the detectors within the individual flats, presenting a risk of confusing relevant persons and causing them to take inappropriate steps to evacuate the premises instead of following stay put procedures.	Adequate procedures for serious and imminent danger and for danger areas should be established and followed. This can be achieved by reviewing and revising the emergency plan to ensure appropriate procedures for the stay put strategy are followed by all relevant persons. Specific consideration should be given to the removal of manual call points and sounders from the common parts, in accordance with the findings of the fire risk assessment.
Article 17	At the time of the audit you had not ensured that a suitable system of maintenance was in place in your premises. It was found that: 1) The thumb turn mechanism on the front fire exit door had not been maintained in efficient working order and did not allow the front fire exit door to be easily and immediately opened. 2) The emergency door override (green switch) for the front fire exit was not in good repair and had been removed. 3) The normal lighting within the escape route on the ground floor at the rear of the premises was faulty and the borrowed lighting in this area did not provide illumination of adequate intensity. 4) The doors to the electric intake cupboard and the electric risers had not been maintained in an efficient state because the intumescent strips and seals were damaged and/or missing, and the casing for all lock inserts were missing.	Arrange initial and ongoing maintenance ensuring fire safety measures are kept in an efficient state, working order and good repair. This can be achieved by: 1) and 2) Appointing one or more competent persons to carry out remedial works for the locks, fastenings, and emergency overrides (green switch) to ensure the front fire exit door can be easily and immediately opened by any person who may require using it in an emergency and during an electricity power outage. 3) Appointing one or more competent persons to carry out remedial works for the normal lighting to ensure the escape routes at provided with illumination of adequate intensity at all material times. 4) and 5) Appointing one or more competent persons to carry out a fire door survey for all lobby doors and service cupboard doors in accordance with the findings of the fire risk assessment, and subsequently arrange a schedule of remedies and works.

Article 17 (Cont'd)	5) The lobbies used for access to the flat front doors had not been maintained in efficient working order because multiple lobby doors did not suitably self close into their door frames (e.g., lobby doors to flats 8, 9, and 10).	
Article 17	The corridors, lobbies and stairs used for access to and from flats in the premises (the access route(s)) are intended for use by relevant persons as a PROTECTED ROUTE. This route should provide a safe means of escape in event of fire and must be maintained in an efficient state, in efficient working order and good repair. During the audit it was found that the responsible person for management of the access route has not prevented or addressed deficiencies in the FIRE RESISTANCE of the PROTECTED ROUTE and/or required rectification of defects that have arisen in, and/or alterations made to, the protection to the access route. The PROTECTED ROUTE has been compromised by the fitting of flat front doors that do not provide 30 minutes fire protection to the access route. The flat front doors of flats 3 and 4 were sampled and were not fitted with suitable self closing mechanisms and suitable fire rated hinges.	Ensure the access corridor is returned to its intended state as a PROTECTED ROUTE to afford protection from fire in a flat to relevant persons who may require use of that corridor for safe escape from the premises in case of fire. Remedial work that may be necessary for this purpose, must be assessed and completed by a competent person who is practised in the application of the relevant standards for means of escape. Your attention is drawn to the provisions of subsections (2) (3) and (4) of Article 17 of the Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005 in the attached extracts of legislation. You are advised that walls in PROTECTED ROUTES should have a minimum of 60 minutes FIRE RESISTANCE. Openings in the walls leading to accommodation off a PROTECTED ROUTE (including doors in entrance ways, service openings, borrowed light glazing, holes around cables trunking and pipework) should be of a minimum 30 minutes FIRE RESISTANCE. Available means the responsible person could use to comply with Article 17 may include enforcing terms of the lease and Landlord and Tenant/Property legislation as lessor/owner.
Article 18	At the time of the audit you had not appointed any competent person(s) to assist you in undertaking your identified preventive and protective measures. It was found that: 1) Competent persons had not been appointed to carry out a Fire Risk Appraisal of External Walls (FRAEW) in accordance with Publicly Available Specification 9980 (PAS 9980:2022).	Appoint one or more competent persons to provide you with safety assistance. This can be achieved by: 1) Appointing one or more competent persons to carry out a Fire Risk Appraisal of External Walls (FRAEW) in accordance with Publicly Available Specification 9980 (PAS 9980:2022).

Article 18 (Cont'd)	2) Competent persons had not been appointed to review the fire detection and warning system, and carry out all the required works, to ensure the system supplements the stay put strategy and reduces the risk of accidental fire alarms. 3) Competent persons had not been appointed to carry out remedial works for the normal lighting on the ground floor at the rear of the premises. 4) Competent persons had not been appointed to carry out remedial works for the door fastenings of the front fire exit on the ground floor. 5) Competent persons had not been appointed to carry out a fire door survey for all flat front doors, lobby doors, and service cupboard doors. 6) Competent persons had not been appointed to carry out a fire stopping survey.	2) Appointing one or more competent persons to carry out works for the fire detection and warning system and ensure it supplements the stay put strategy and reduces the risk of accidental fire alarms. 3) Appointing one or more competent persons to carry out remedial works for the normal lighting on the ground floor at the rear of the premises. 4) Appointing one or more competent persons to carry out remedial works for the door fastenings of the front fire exit on the ground floor. 5) Appointing one or more competent persons to carry out a fire door survey for all flat front doors, lobby doors, and service cupboard doors. 6) Appointing one or more competent persons to carry out a fire stopping survey.
Article 8	At the time of the audit the general fire precautions as identified in the significant findings of your fire risk assessment had not been implemented. It was found that: 1) A Fire Risk Appraisal of External Walls in accordance with Publicly Available Specification 9980 (PAS 9980:2022) had not been carried out. 2) All items contributing to the high fire loading next to the premises and in the undercroft had not been removed. 3) Storage and obstructions in the means of escape had not been removed. 4) The fire alarm system had not been removed.	Implement the significant findings of your fire risk assessment. In particular, ensure the items in the action plan are carried out by competent persons in accordance with the prescribed timeframes and priorities recommended by the fire risk assessor.

Article 8 (Cont'd)	5) The damaged emergency door override (green switch) had not been replaced. 6) A fire door survey for all flat front doors, lobby doors, and service cupboard doors had not been carried out. 7) A fire stopping survey had not been carried out.	
Article 9	At the time of the audit the fire risk assessment for your premises was not suitable and sufficient. It was found that the fire risks in relation to the building's structure and external wall system - which includes cladding of unknown composition, combustible wooden panels, and visible signs of degradation - had not be adequately considered in accordance with Publicly Available Specification 9980 (PAS 9980:2022).	The fire risk assessment should be reviewed, with specific consideration given to the building's structure and external walls in accordance with Publicly Available Specification 9980 (PAS 9980:2022).

*****RECOMMENDATIONS NOT FORMING REQUIREMENTS OF THE SCHEDULE*****

The Commissioner would strongly urge that you consider the presence of combustible façade cladding materials as part of the risk assessment process for these premises. All relevant information about any replacement window and facade schemes should be made fully available to fire risk assessors. Where no reliable information is available for a given property, a strategy to assess the risk and where necessary implement short, medium and long term actions to address the risk should be implemented.

Where remedial measures are to be undertaken to which consultation requirements under Section 20 of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 will apply, the Commissioner would urge you to consider application of the disapplication provisions under Section 20ZA of that Act.

The Chief Executive
Celestial Church of Christ Edward Street Parish
St. Marks Centre
Edward Street
London SE14 6DX

The London Fire Commissioner is the
fire and rescue authority for London

Date 4 September 2026
Our Ref 90/233421

**EXTENSION OF TIME IN RESPECT
OF ENFORCEMENT NOTICE**
requiring steps to be taken under Article 30 of the
Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005 (as amended)

Premises: Luli Court, Trim Street, London SE14 6FB

The London Fire Commissioner (the Commissioner) is the fire and rescue authority for London. The Commissioner is responsible for enforcing the Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005 (as amended), hereafter ('The Order') in London.

I refer to the Commissioner's enforcement notice dated **1 September 2025** when you were given notice of steps to be taken by **31 August 2026**.

You have since contacted the Commissioner to advise that you will be unable to complete the work within time allowed.

The time limit in which to deal with these outstanding matters has been extended to **1 March 2027**.

If at the end of the time limit the matters have not been rectified, further extensions of time will not be granted, except in exceptional circumstances, and consideration may be given to the initiation of legal proceedings.

Any queries regarding this letter should be addressed to the person named below. If you are dissatisfied in any way with the response given, please ask to speak to the Team Leader quoting our reference.

[REDACTED]

for Assistant Commissioner (Fire Safety)

Directorate of Operations

Reply to Inspecting Officer [REDACTED]

Direct T 020 8555 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] [@london-fire.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@london-fire.gov.uk)

Cc: The Secretary & Trustee, Celestial Church of Christ Edward Street Parish, St. Marks Centre,
Edward Street, London SE14 6DX

[REDACTED] VFM Procurement Limited, 137-139 High Street, Beckenham,
Kent BR3 1AG

Deptford Challenge Trust: [REDACTED]@lewisham.gov.uk