Fire Safety Audit Report

Audit Information

Audited By	[redacted]
Audit Completed	6 February 2026

Location summary

File No	04/012593		
UPRN	10008313867		
Building Name	Prince Arthur PH		
Address	95 FOREST ROAD HACKNEY LONDON E8 3BH		
Borough	Hackney		
Use	L - Licensed Premises		
Responsible Team	FSD HACK-ISL-HAV-RED-WF		
Station Ground	F28 - Homerton		
Site Risk Score	9.00		
Building Height band	<18		
Total Floors	4	**Basement floors**	1
Estimated number of sleeping	0		
Special Features			
Additional detail	Pub located on the Ground & basement. Flats with own protected entrance.		
Premises Description	95 Forest Road is a traditional mixed-use property pub at ground floor with two storeys of self-contained flats above (five in total). Solid brick walls with some concrete elements; single enclosed timber stair serving the flats via a gated yard. No communal loft space. The building appears late-Victorian/early-Edwardian in origin. There's one communal stairwell serving the residential parts only. It runs from the gated yard entrance at ground level up to the first and second floors		
Exterior Wall Cladding	N/A		
Exterior Wall Insulation	N/A		

Cladding/Insulation details confirmed by NOT CONFIRMED

Environmental Risks	NONE
Features assisting fire spread	NONE

Site Reinspection date	
Heritage Building	No
Balconies present?	No
Gas Supply present?	No

Petroleum redevelopment?	No
Known firesetting in area?	No
Site lone worker risk	None

Property Detail (DEFAULT PROPERTY)

Occupier Contact	Default
Address	95 FOREST ROAD HACKNEY LONDON E8 3BH
Responsible team	FSD HACK-ISL-HAV-RED-WF
Occupancy Type	Occupier - multi or single occupancy
Property Use	L - Licensed Premises
Valuation Office	CL - Public House
Original Risk Score	9.00
Reinspection Date	N/A (SAMPLE)
Last Inspection	11 December 2025
Total Capacity	0
Maximum number of people	<20
Property Size for use	Small 251m² to 400m²
Environmental Risks	NONE
Occupant Mobility	Average
Fire Loading	Average
Additional detail	None
Specific lone worker risk	
Primary Authority Partnership	N/A

Protection Data (SHARED)

Fire Protection & Warning	Adequate
Unwanted fire signals count	0
AFD remote monitoring	No
Smoke ventilation	Natural
Covers MOE/Common areas?	No
Sprinklers Installed?	No
Access for fire-fighting	Average
Water supplies	Average
Special Features	None
# Fire fighting shafts	0
Engineered solution?	No
Trade off measures?	No
Evacuation type	Simultaneous Evacuation
History of fires?	No

Contacts

Occupier

Name	Default
Address	95 Forest Road London E8 3BH

On Site Representative - NEW

Person	[redacted]
Position	[redacted]
Address	95 Forest Road, London E8 3BH
Email	[redacted]@gmail.com

Other relevant contact - NEW

Person	[redacted]
Position	[redacted]
Address	95 Forest Road, London E8 3BH
Email	[redacted]@gmail.com

Enforcement history

Articles

Article 9 - Risk assessment

SAFETY CRITICAL
Safety Evaluation
Broadly Compliant

Verbal Advice Given

Observations

At the time of the audit there was a Fire Risk Assessment (FRA) in place which had been completed by Risk Assessment Limited on 30/09/2025. This was by [redacted] and had a review date of 30/09/2026.

The FRA had identified 17 actions which had been recorded with a timescale included.

The FRA was found to be suitable and sufficient for the premises. It covered the general fire precautions and highlights the significant findings, the relevant risks and relevant persons.

However, some of the actions highlighted had not been dealt with or signed off and no schedule of works were in place (see article 8).

Advice was given to complete the actions as soon as possible.

Article 11 - Fire Safety Arrangements

SAFETY CRITICAL
Safety Evaluation
Low Risk

Observations
At the time of the audit, it was found that:
- the fire detection system servicing had not been planned or organised.
- the emergency lighting system servicing had not been monitored or reviewed.
- the actions highlighted in the FRA had not been planned or organised.

FAILURE
Article 11 FS arrangements not maintained
At the time of the audit your preventative and protective measures had not been planned, organised, controlled monitored or reviewed where required. It was found that:
- the fire detection system servicing had not been planned or organised.
- the emergency lighting system servicing had not been monitored or reviewed.
- the actions highlighted in the FRA had not been planned or organised.

REMEDY
Arrangements identified as not suitably addressed must be effectively planned, organised, controlled, monitored or reviewed.

Article 13 - Detection and warning

SAFETY CRITICAL
Safety Evaluation
Broadly Compliant

Observations
At the time of the audit, it was found that there were interlinked automatic smoke detectors in the common parts of the premises. These were hardwired.

This system is not interlinked with the pub.

[redacted]

[redacted].

Article 14 - Emergency routes and exits

SAFETY CRITICAL
Safety Evaluation
Broadly Compliant

Observations
At the time of the audit, it was found that an effective means of escape was provided and maintained allowing all relevant persons the ability to escape quickly and safely in event of a fire. The routes and exits were clear of obstructions.

It appeared that the front doors on all the flats were the same and were fire-doors. [redacted] showed us that there was a self-closers, with strips and sills on [redacted] door.

The FRA did mention that [redacted] was not considered adequate, this was due to not having a self-closer and some intumescent strips. There was an action to ensure all doors were adequate. I was unable to check if [redacted] had made any changes to their door or if any other door was adequate.

Article 14
(continued)

The premises had emergency lighting in place. It appeared to be working but it is unknown if this is serviced by a competent person.

There were some directional signage in the premises, but the residents are familiar with the exit route.

The entrance/exit for the flats is shared with the pub. The pub has an exit, but not a fire exit, that leads to the flats MOE.

Article 15 - Procedures for serious and imminent danger and for danger areas

SAFETY CRITICAL
Safety Evaluation
Broadly Compliant

Verbal Advice Given

Observations
At the time of the audit, it was noticed that in the entrance hall was a Fire Action Notice. There was no other recorded emergency plan shown.

The notice explained that residents should leave the building if the alarm were to sound and go to the assembly point. This was at 4 Elrington Road and dial 999. This assembly point is the same as the pub.

There is a simultaneous evacuation and there are detectors in the stairway/ common areas which also reinforces this. The FRA also confirms this evacuation.

It is advised to have a recorded emergency plan in place.

Article 17 - Maintenance

SAFETY CRITICAL
Safety Evaluation
Low Risk

Verbal Advice Given

Observations
At the time of the audit, there was no recorded evidence of testing or servicing seen.

The fire detection and warning system appeared not to be tested or maintained.

The emergency lighting could be seen and appeared to be in good condition. Again, no records to show testing or servicing.

There does not appear to be an annual inspection of the flat front doors.

Advice emailed/given to ensure the annual maintenance of the fire doors is provided. To also have a competent person service the alarms and emergency lighting.

FAILURE
Article 17(1) Facilities/equipment not maintained
At the time of the audit you had not ensured that a suitable system of maintenance was in place in your premises. It was found that:
1. The alarm system is not being tested or serviced.
2. The emergency lighting is not being tested or serviced.
3. No annual inspection of the flat front doors is being carried out.
REMEDY

Article 17
(continued)

Arrange initial and on-going maintenance to ensure fire safety measures are kept in an efficient state, working order and good repair. This can be achieved by:
1. employing a competent person to test and service the alarm system.
2. employing a competent person to test and service the emergency lighting.
3. to carry out an annual inspection of the flat front doors.

Article 21 - Training

SAFETY CRITICAL
Safety Evaluation
Not Applicable

Observations
At the time of the audit training could not be confirmed.

[redacted].

Article 8 - General fire precautions

Safety Evaluation
Low Risk

Verbal Advice Given

Observations
At the time of the audit, it was found that the findings of the Fire Risk Assessment had not been actioned. Advice given to complete all outstanding actions.

There were no visible concerns with compartmentation or fire-resistant elements of the structure. However, a compartmentation survey was recommended for the pub to carry out. This was to confirm 60 minute resistance between the commercial and residential areas.

FAILURE
Article 8 FRA findings not implemented
At the time of the audit the general fire precautions as identified in the significant findings of your fire risk assessment had not been implemented. It was found that the actions highlighted had not been completed within the timeframe given.
REMEDY
Implement the significant findings of your fire risk assessment, in particular, actioning the findings as soon as possible.

Article 10 - Principles of prevention to be applied

Safety Evaluation
Broadly Compliant

Observations
At the time of the audit, the RP and duty holders had applied the principles of prevention accordingly.

Article 12 - Elimination or reduction of risks from dangerous substances

Safety Evaluation
Broadly Compliant

Observations
No dangerous substances present on the premises.

Article 13 - Fire Fighting Equipment

Safety Evaluation
Not Applicable

Observations
FFE not required due to the fire safety audit only limited to the common parts of the premises.

Article 16 - Measures for dealing with dangerous substances affecting general fire precautions

Safety Evaluation
Not Applicable

Observations
There were no dangerous substances present.

Article 18 - Safety assistance

Safety Evaluation
Non Compliant

Observations
At the time of the audit, there was nobody present, and it is unknown if a competent person has been appointed to assist in undertaking the preventative and protective measures.

It was not confirmed to us that the fire safety arrangements in place were serviced and tested by a competent person.

FAILURE
Article 18 Competent persons not appointed
At the time of the audit you had not appointed any competent person(s) to assist you in undertaking your identified preventive and protective measures. It was found that the detection system and emergency lighting had not been serviced.
REMEDY
Appoint one or more competent persons to provide you with safety assistance. This can be achieved by employing a competent person.

Article 19 - Provision of information to employees

Safety Evaluation
Not Applicable

Observations
This article is not applicable.

Article 20 - Provision of information to employers and the self employed from outside undertakings

Safety Evaluation
Not Applicable

Observations
This article is not applicable.

Article 22 - Co-operation and co-ordination

Safety Evaluation
Broadly Compliant

Observations
At the time of the audit there was a good level of co-operation between the public house and the lease holders.
One of the directors is the liaison between the flats and the pub.

Article 23 - General duties of employees at work

Safety Evaluation
Not Applicable

Observations
This article is not applicable as it only applies to employees.

Article 37 - Fire fighters switches for luminous tube signs

Safety Evaluation
Not Applicable

Observations
At the time of the audit there was not a fire-fighter switch in place at this premises.

Article 38 - Maintenance of measures provided for protection of fire fighters

Safety Evaluation
Not Applicable

Observations
At the time of the audit, there was no facilities, equipment or devices present for use by fire-fighters.

Article 24 - Power to make regulations

Safety Evaluation
No (Compliant)

Observations
None

Article 27 - Powers of inspectors

Safety Evaluation
No (Compliant)

Observations
None

Article 29 - Current alterations notices

Safety Evaluation
No (Compliant)

Observations
None

Article 30 - Current enforcement notices

Safety Evaluation
No (Compliant)

Observations
None

Article 31 - Current prohibition notices

Safety Evaluation
No (Compliant)

Observations
None

Article 32 - Offences

Safety Evaluation	Observations
No (Compliant)	None

Overall safety standard

Low Risk

Management compliance level

Management Compliance Level	1 - Well above average
Initial Expectation	Verbal action
Considered EMM?	Yes
Confirmed Action	Verbal action

Audit Conclusion

Date audit carried out

28/01/2026

Time of audit

13:00

Reason for audit;

An internal demand led audit raised [redacted].

Conclusion

I attended the audit with [redacted] (FSIO) and [redacted] (FSA). The RP's were not available to attend [redacted].

The systems I used for gathering information prior to the audit were:
· Farynor and FS Portal (for any previous files and jobs within this premises).
· Companies House (To establish the responsible persons for the premises).
· IMS and Power BI (for any incident of fires or false alarms)
· I-mapping (For location and analysis of escape routes, travel distances, operational risk entries, fire hydrants and access for firefighters).
· Primary Authority checks
· Google Maps (for further contact details and location analysis).

At the time of the audit, it was found that:
- there was a written fire risk assessment.
- there was no written emergency evacuation plan but a fire action notice was in place.
- there was an operational fire alarm system.
- there was emergency lighting
- there was signage to support the means of escape.

Advice was given to:
- to complete all outstanding actions in the FRA within in the time limits given.
- to have a recorded emergency plan in place.
- to ensure the annual maintenance of the fire doors is provided.
- to have a competent person service the alarms and emergency lighting.

The outcome of this audit was broadly compliant with verbal advice.

Post audit times include pre-audit research, reading documents, meeting, and writing the audit.

Extent of premises audited

A full inspection of the common parts, including the stairway.

Justification of audit outcome

The outcome for this audit is Broadly Compliant with verbal advice given. The premises appears to be well managed.

Verbal Advice Given

Advice given on article(s) 9, 17, 8, 15

Verbal advice given on safety critical articles - 9, 15, & 17.
Advice given on other articles - 8.

Other Authorities to notify

None

Weeks to Complete Work

N/A

Specific instructions for Admin to Action

None

Compliance calculation & signature

Compliance Level	1 - Well above average
Property Risk Group	B - Sleeping familiar or Licensed Premises
Life Risk	-5.9
Actual Risk Score	3.23
Risk Score	3.25

Audit Timings

Audit Duration	Travel Time	Post Audit Processing Duration
120	90	240

Fire Safety Audit Report

Audit Information

Audited By	[redacted]
Audit Completed	16 February 2026

Location summary

File No	04/012593		
UPRN	10008313867		
Building Name	Prince Arthur PH		
Address	95 FOREST ROAD HACKNEY LONDON E8 3BH		
Borough	Hackney		
Use	L - Licensed Premises		
Responsible Team	FSD HACK-ISL-HAV-RED-WF		
Station Ground	F28 - Homerton		
Site Risk Score	9.00		
Total Floors	4	**Basement floors**	1
Estimated number of sleeping	0		
Special Features			
Additional detail	Pub located on the Ground & basement. Flats with own protected entrance.		
Premises Description	Traditional brick-built building, with the pub occupying the ground floor and basement, and flats above with a separate entrance. L3 AFD system installed with fire panel, 4 exits and small beer garden at the rear which is not used. Premises approx. 250m2.		
Exterior Wall Cladding	N/A		
Exterior Wall Insulation	N/A		
Cladding/Insulation details confirmed by	NOT CONFIRMED		
Environmental Risks	NONE		
Features assisting fire spread	NONE		
Site Reinspection date			
Heritage Building	No		
Balconies present?	No		
Gas Supply present?	Unknown		
Petroleum redevelopment?	No		
Known firesetting in area?	No		
Site lone worker risk			

Property Detail

Occupier Contact	The Prince Arthur PH
Address	The Prince Arthur PH 95 Forest Road London E8 3BH
Responsible team	FSD HACK-ISL-HAV-RED-WF
Occupancy Type	Occupier - multi or single occupancy
Property Use	L - Licensed Premises
Valuation Office	CL - Public House
Original Risk Score	3.25
Reinspection Date	N/A (SAMPLE)
Last Inspection	2 December 2025
Total Capacity	0
Maximum number of people	20-100
Property Size for use	Very Small 151m² to 250m²
Environmental Risks	NONE
Occupant Mobility	Average
Fire Loading	Average
Additional detail	
Specific lone worker risk	
Primary Authority Partnership	N/A

Protection Data (SHARED)

Fire Protection & Warning	Adequate
Unwanted fire signals count	0
AFD remote monitoring	No
Smoke ventilation	None
Covers MOE/Common areas?	No
Sprinklers Installed?	No
Access for fire-fighting	Average
Water supplies	Average
Special Features	
# Fire fighting shafts	0
Engineered solution?	No
Trade off measures?	No
Evacuation type	Simultaneous Evacuation
History of fires?	No

Contacts

Occupier - CHANGED

Name	The Prince Arthur PH
Person	[redacted]
Position	Landlord
Address	95 Forest Road London E8 3BH
Email	[redacted]@tinydancergroup.co.uk

Enforcement history

Articles

Article 9 - Risk assessment

SAFETY CRITICAL
Safety Evaluation
Broadly Compliant

Observations
At the time of the audit the RP evidenced a Fire Risk Assessment which had been carried out in December 2025 by [redacted] of Complete Fire Management Ltd. The FRA was suitable and sufficient, covered the whole premises in good detail, and the few minor findings that required action have either already been remedied by the RP or are in the process of being actioned.

Article 11 - Fire Safety Arrangements

SAFETY CRITICAL
Safety Evaluation
Low Risk

Verbal Advice Given

Observations
There was evidence of suitable and sufficient management systems in place, with a weekly H&S / fire safety checklist including testing of the AFD/ emergency lighting, and visual inspections of firefighting equipment. The responsible person has implemented effective arrangements for the planning, organisation, control, monitoring and review of preventative and protective measures. There was one fire door between the kitchen and pub which the FRA had said was unsuitable, and the RP is in the process of replacing.

FAILURE
Article 11 FS arrangements not maintained
At the time of the audit your preventative and protective measures had not been planned, organised, controlled monitored or reviewed where required. It was found that the fire door to the kitchen had not been monitored.
REMEDY
Arrangements identified as not suitably addressed must be effectively planned, organised, controlled, monitored or reviewed.

Article 13 - Detection and warning

SAFETY CRITICAL
Safety Evaluation
Broadly Compliant

Observations
At the time of the audit there was an interlinked L3 system throughout the premises, with manual call points well distributed and a healthy fire panel in the staff area behind the bar showing no faults. The RP confirmed that there are weekly alarm tests, including using different manual call points to activate it, and they are recorded in an online logbook system.

Article 14 - Emergency routes and exits

SAFETY CRITICAL
Safety Evaluation
Low Risk

Verbal Advice Given

Observations
There are 4 emergency exits from the pub, all leading to a place of ultimate safety. There is also an exit at the rear which is not used or signposted as an emergency exit, and leads to the shared garden with the flats above. There is also a fully functioning emergency lighting system in place, which is tested monthly and recorded in an online logbook system. The FRA recommended the replacement of the fire door to the kitchen as it had a small air vent, and the RP evidenced that this has been ordered. Travel distance and signage were acceptable throughout.

FAILURE
Article 14 Issues with emergency routes or exits
At the time of the audit the emergency routes or exits were inadequate. It was found that the fire door to the kitchen was inadequate.
REMEDY
Ensure adequate emergency routes and exits, for use by relevant persons in the premises, are available and can be safely and effectively used at all relevant times. This can be achieved by installing a self closing FDS30 door to the kitchen.

Article 15 - Procedures for serious and imminent danger and for danger areas

SAFETY CRITICAL
Safety Evaluation
Broadly Compliant

Observations
At the time of the audit there was a recorded emergency plan in place, including a designated assembly point, which was on the corner of the road opposite, Elrington Road. Staff spoken to were aware of the emergency plan and their responsibilities within it. The emergency plan was also displayed on N1 and N2 notices.

Article 17 - Maintenance

SAFETY CRITICAL
Safety Evaluation
Broadly Compliant

Verbal Advice Given

Observations
At the time of the audit the RP was able to evidence maintenance carried out to the alarm system, the emergency lighting system, firefighting equipment, and TR19. All maintenance had been carried out within the expected timeframes by a competent person as follows:
AFD – 10/2025 by Firetronik
Emergency lighting – 10/2025 by Firetronik
Firefighting equipment – 04/2025 by Firetronik

The FRA had mentioned that the fire door to the kitchen was insufficient as there was an air vent in it which was not intumescent. The rest of the door and frame appeared well maintained and the RP evidenced that a new door had been ordered, so I have only failed this under Article 14.

Article 21 - Training

SAFETY CRITICAL
Safety Evaluation
Broadly Compliant

Verbal Advice Given

Observations
Staff receive online fire safety training as a part of their onboarding H&S training when they first start working at the pub. This is not currently recorded, and there is currently no refresher training provided, so the RP was advised to consider both of these things.

Article 8 - General fire precautions

Safety Evaluation
Broadly Compliant

Verbal Advice Given

Observations
The audit came about as the landlord contacted us whilst I was TCO, as a resident of one of the flats above had said that he had compartmentation concerns. I could not see any visible compartmentation issues, and the FRA said it seemed sound, however a compartmentation survey was recommended to be sure. The management was good, as the kitchen ducting is cleaned by staff weekly, and the findings of the Fire Risk Assessment have either been actioned or are in the process of being actioned.

Article 10 - Principles of prevention to be applied

Safety Evaluation
Broadly Compliant

Observations
There were general fire precautions in place at the premises, and risks were being suitably managed overall, with exit signs, fire action notices, and a clear emergency plan in place. There was a no smoking policy with signage displayed to show this, a fully operational AFD system in place with manual call points, emergency lighting, and firefighting equipment.

Article 12 - Elimination or reduction of risks from dangerous substances

Safety Evaluation
Broadly Compliant

Observations
There were no issues with dangerous substances on site, with the expected cleaning products all stored in locked COSHH cabinets in small storage cupboards. The cylinders for drinks pumps were stored in the rear courtyard (not accessible to patrons) and were secured.

Article 13 - Fire Fighting Equipment

Safety Evaluation
Broadly Compliant

Observations
There was a good coverage of firefighting equipment across the premises, with 2x foam, 2x CO2, 1x ‘all fires’, and a fire blanket. All extinguishers were stored on stands, although some had the incorrect signage above them, so the RP was advised to ensure all signs match the relevant extinguisher. The RP evidenced that the firefighting equipment had been maintained by a competent person.

Article 16 - Measures for dealing with dangerous substances affecting general fire precautions

Safety Evaluation
Broadly Compliant

Observations
As detailed in Article 12, there are no dangerous substances stored on site and the expected cleaning products were being stored in locked COSHH cupboards. The cylinders for drinks pumps were stored in the rear courtyard (not accessible to patrons) and were secured.

Article 18 - Safety assistance

Safety Evaluation
Broadly Compliant

Observations
As stated in Article 17, competent persons had been appointed to maintain the alarm and detection system, the emergency lighting, and the firefighting equipment.

Article 19 - Provision of information to employees

Safety Evaluation
Broadly Compliant

Observations
Employees were given the appropriate information relating to fire safety, the risks in the premises, the emergency plan, and the provisions for firefighting.

Article 20 - Provision of information to employers and the self employed from outside undertakings

Safety Evaluation
Broadly Compliant

Observations
All outside contractors will speak with the bar staff when they arrive, and the RP said that he makes sure is on site whenever there are contractors present. The coverage of the AFD in the premises would mean that any outside contractors would be immediately warned of a fire. The AFD, firefighting equipment, and escape signage would assist in escape if necessary.

Article 22 - Co-operation and co-ordination

Safety Evaluation
Broadly Compliant

Observations
There are flats above the pub, but they have a separate entrance at the rear, and there are no shared means of escapes. One of the residents in the flats above had mentioned to the RP that he felt there were compartmentation issues between the 2, which is why the RP contacted us to arrange the audit. He was advised to have a compartmentation survey carried out.

Article 23 - General duties of employees at work

Safety Evaluation
Broadly Compliant

Observations
Employees who were spoken to were aware of how to report potential risks or issues, and to who. They were also aware of the precautions needed to maintain safety for both themselves and any relevant persons on site through their fire safety training and evacuation drills.

Article 37 - Fire fighters switches for luminous tube signs

Safety Evaluation
Not Applicable

Observations
None

Article 38 - Maintenance of measures provided for protection of fire fighters

Safety Evaluation
Not Applicable

Observations
None

Article 24 - Power to make regulations

Safety Evaluation
Not Applicable

Observations
None

Article 27 - Powers of inspectors

Safety Evaluation
Not Applicable

Observations
None

Article 29 - Current alterations notices

Safety Evaluation
Not Applicable

Observations
None

Article 30 - Current enforcement notices

Safety Evaluation
Not Applicable

Observations
None

Article 31 - Current prohibition notices

Safety Evaluation
Not Applicable

Observations
None

Article 32 - Offences

Safety Evaluation
Not Applicable

Observations
None

Overall safety standard

Low Risk

Management compliance level

Management Compliance Level	1 - Well above average
Initial Expectation	Verbal action
Considered EMM?	Yes
Confirmed Action	Verbal action

Audit Conclusion

Date audit carried out

28:01:2026

Time of audit

10:30

Reason for audit;

Demand led audit

Conclusion

I attended this audit with [redacted] (IO) and [redacted] (FSA), and met with [redacted], the RP who managed several pubs in the area. Prior to the audit, I accessed the following systems for information: Farynor for the location history, iMapping for the size of the premises and hydrant location, and LFB Data and IMS for previous incidents and fire safety audits at the premises. The last Fire Safety Audit was carried out in 2015.
The audit had come about as the RP contacted us whilst I was TCO. A resident of the flats above said that they had an FRA done which mentioned compartmentation issues between the pub and the flats above. In response, the RP had had a new FRA done on the pub, which was unable to confirm if there were issues. I recommended a compartmentation survey be carried out.
The FRA that the RP had recently had carried out was suitable and sufficient, and most actions had already been completed. The only major one which was outstanding was replacing the fire door to the kitchen as the current one has an air vent. The RP confirmed a new door has been ordered and showed me the invoice. The pub had an interlinked L3 AFD system with manual call points and a healthy fire panel. It is tested weekly by staff and recorded in a fire log book. There is also emergency lighting throughout, which is tested monthly and also recorded in the log book.
There are 4 exits from the pub, and the means of escapes were all clear of obstruction, well signed, and within acceptable travel distances. There is a recorded emergency plan with an assembly point on Elrington Road opposite. They carry out an annual fire drill which is recorded and there are currently 3 staff members with fire marshal training, with 1 more due to be completed in the coming weeks. All staff receive online fire safety training when they join the company.
The RP was able to evidence that competent persons had been appointed to maintain the AFD system, the emergency lighting, firefighting equipment, and deep cleaning the ducting. There was good housekeeping in the basement, which had been an action in the FRA. There was a good coverage of firefighting equipment across the premises, with extinguishers placed on stands, and fire blankets in the kitchen. There was incorrect signage above one extinguisher, so the RP was advised to remedy this.
Overall, the premises was being very well managed, with no obvious compartmentation issues, clear escape routes, good escape signage and N1 notices, AFD, emergency lighting, and firefighting equipment distributed around the premises.
At the end of the audit, I explained that I would email the RP with any recommendations to carry out. The RP had an opportunity to ask any questions of myself or my colleague, and verbal advice was given to:

- Ensure the fire door to the kitchen is replaced as soon as it arrives.
- Appoint a competent person to carry out a compartmentation survey.
- Ensure all extinguishers have the correct signage.
- Consider refresher fire safety training for staff.

Extent of premises audited

Full premises audited

Justification of audit outcome

- Ensure the fire door to the kitchen is replaced as soon as it arrives.
- Appoint a competent person to carry out a compartmentation survey.
- Ensure all extinguishers have the correct signage.
- Consider refresher fire safety training for staff.

Verbal Advice Given

None recorded

Other Authorities to notify

None

Weeks to Complete Work

N/A

Specific instructions for Admin to Action

None

Compliance calculation & signature

Compliance Level	1 - Well above average
Property Risk Group	B - Sleeping familiar or Licensed Premises
Life Risk	-7
Actual Risk Score	3.15
Risk Score	3.25

Audit Timings

Audit Duration	Travel Time	Post Audit Processing Duration
120	60	150