

Freedom of Information request: Reference number FOI2026/00543

Date of request: 13th May

Request:

Copy of recent Fire safety Officers audit visit to 'Pizzavala' 176 Station Road, Harrow HA1 2RH. We had raised concerns regarding premises separation as we were experiencing severe cooking odours and noise nuisance. The kitchens are directly under our property.

Response:

In response to your request, Our Fire safety regulatory team have confirmed a Fire Safety Audit took place on 15th April 2026. An outcome was submitted as Low Risk. As a result, verbal action was submitted.

Please see below for a copy of the Fire Audit Report. Personal information has been removed from the report under section [40 of the FOIA – Personal Information](#).

We have dealt with your request under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. For more information about this process please see the guidance we publish about making a request on our website: <https://www.london-fire.gov.uk/about-us/transparency/request-information-from-us/>



Fire Safety Audit Report

Audit Information

Audited By [REDACTED]
Audit Completed 23 April 2026

Location summary

File No 29/008899
UPRN 100023028086
Building Name STATE HOUSE
Address STATE HOUSE
 176 STATION ROAD
 HARROW
 HA1 2RH
Borough Harrow
Use H - Other sleeping accommodation
Responsible Team FSD BRENT & EAL-HHH
Station Ground G21 - Harrow
Site Risk Score 4.00
Building Height band <18
Total Floors 3 **Basement floors** 0
Estimated number of sleeping 0
Special Features
Additional detail **NONE**

Premises Description

Licensed ground floor restaurant and takeaway.
 Front and rear emergency exits.
 2 Floors of residential accommodation above with independent access via external stairway.
 Automatic detection and manual call points.
 Operating hours 0900 – 2300Hrs

Exterior Wall Cladding N/A

Exterior Wall Insulation N/A

Cladding/Insulation details confirmed by NOT CONFIRMED

Environmental Risks NONE

Features assisting fire spread NONE

Site Reinspection date

Heritage Building No

Balconies present? No

Gas Supply present? Yes

Petroleum redevelopment? No

Known firesetting in area? No

Site lone worker risk

Property Detail (OCCUPIER PROPERTY)

Occupier Contact	Pizzavala
Address	STATE HOUSE 176 STATION ROAD HARROW HA1 2RH
Responsible team	FSD BRENT & EAL-HHH
Occupancy Type	Occupier - multi occupancy
Property Use	L - Licensed Premises
Valuation Office	CR - Restaurant-Licensed
Original Risk Score	<u>4.00</u>
Reinspection Date	N/A (SAMPLE)
Last Inspection	21 April 2026
Total Capacity	0
Maximum number of people	<u><20</u>
Property Size for use	Very Small 151m ² to 250m ²
Environmental Risks	NONE
Occupant Mobility	Average
Fire Loading	Average

Additional detail

Specific lone worker risk

Primary Authority Partnership N/A

Protection Data (SHARED)

Fire Protection & Warning	Adequate
Unwanted fire signals count	0
AFD remote monitoring	No
Smoke ventilation	N/A
Covers MOE/Common areas?	No
Sprinklers Installed?	No
Access for fire-fighting	Average
Water supplies	Average
Special Features	
# Fire fighting shafts	0
Engineered solution?	No
Trade off measures?	No
Evacuation type	Simultaneous Evacuation
History of fires?	No

Contacts

Occupier - CHANGED

Name	Pizzavala
Person	[REDACTED]
Position	Staff Member
Address	STATE HOUSE 176 STATION ROAD HARROW HA1 2RH
Telephone	[REDACTED]

Owner/Co-Owner - NEW

Name	Pizzavala Limited
Person	[REDACTED]
Position	Owner / Manager
Address	176 Station Road Harrow HA12RH
Telephone	[REDACTED]
Mobile	[REDACTED]
Email	[REDACTED]

Enforcement history**Articles****Article 9 - Risk assessment**

SAFETY CRITICAL
Safety Evaluation
Broadly Compliant

Observations

At the time of the audit there was evidence to suggest that a fire risk assessment of the premises had been carried out.
There was automatic detection and MCP installed.
Suitable fire extinguishers/fire blankets were provided.
Emergency lighting.
Emergency signage and fire action notices.
Certification was provided for electrical and gas installations.
Staff were aware of the emergency plan and their roles.
Verbal advice to record the Fire Risk Assessment and to review annually.

Article 11 - Fire Safety Arrangements

SAFETY CRITICAL
Safety Evaluation
Low Risk

Observations

At time of the audit there was evidence that the responsible person had a proactive attitude to fire safety. There was an adequate level of emergency planning, staff training and systems testing.

However, although there appeared to have been some assessment of the fire risk on the premises - a recorded fire risk assessment had not been planned or organised.

FAILURE

Article 11 FS arrangements not maintained

Article 11
(continued)

At the time of the audit your preventative and protective measures had not been planned, organised, controlled monitored or reviewed where required. It was found that

1. A recorded fire risk assessment had not been planned or organised.

REMEDY

Arrangements identified as not suitably addressed must be effectively planned, organised, controlled, monitored or reviewed.

Article 13 - Detection and warning**SAFETY CRITICAL**
Safety Evaluation
Broadly Compliant**Observations**

At the time of the audit there was an automatic fire detection system provided. This consisted of smoke detectors, manual call points (MCP) linked to a fire alarm control panel in front of store. The fire alarm control panel was visibly in good working condition. Service records identify conformation to BS 5839. Fire logbook confirms testing and servicing carried out.

Article 14 - Emergency routes and exits**SAFETY CRITICAL**
Safety Evaluation
Broadly Compliant**Observations**

There were two emergency exits from the premises. One to the rear leading to place of ultimate safety and main egress point to shop floor. These routes were clear at the time of the inspection, had suitable signage and emergency lighting.

The doors were adequate for the number of people using them, opened in the correct direction, and fitted with simple door fastenings.

At the time of the audit the rear gate to the yard was locked, at my request this was unlocked, and verbal advice was given to keep this unlocked during operating hours to allow occupants to exit to place of ultimate safety. The rear emergency door was not locked so occupants were able to leave the building into yard.

Article 15 - Procedures for serious and imminent danger and for danger areas**SAFETY CRITICAL**
Safety Evaluation
Broadly Compliant**Observations**

There was a recorded emergency plan, highlighted on FANs, in place in case of fire. The plan included suitable procedures for raising the alarm and actions to be taken including contacting emergency services. Staff would confirm fire on alarm sounding and commence evacuation. Staff interviewed at the time of the audit confirmed knowledge of the simple emergency procedure.

Article 17 - Maintenance**SAFETY CRITICAL**
Safety Evaluation
Broadly Compliant**Observations**

The alarm and detection in place was only 3 months old, a service contract was in place to be serviced annually.

It's tested weekly by the store management and recorded in log book.

Article 17
(continued)

All fire extinguishers in good visual order, regularly serviced, documentation reviewed.
Emergency escape lighting was tested weekly by management and serviced annually.

Article 21 - Training**SAFETY CRITICAL**
Safety Evaluation
Broadly Compliant**Observations**

Staff member █████ confirmed that the manager had instructed him regarding the emergency procedures in place. No drills were carried out, however the staff member confirmed his understanding of the plan and demonstrated the simple actions that would be carried out in case of fire.
VA given to make sure any new staff members were given suitable training in regard to fire safety.

Article 8 - General fire precautions**Safety Evaluation**
Broadly Compliant**Observations**

At the time of the audit there were no visible compartmentation and fire separation issues. This was a relatively new installation. The RP verbally confirmed that all compartmentation between the residential and commercial property was minimum of 60 min, double layer of fire rated plasterboard, taped and sealed.

Article 10 - Principles of prevention to be applied**Safety Evaluation**
Broadly Compliant**Observations**

No Smoking policy.
Electrical and gas installations certified and tested

Article 12 - Elimination or reduction of risks from dangerous substances**Safety Evaluation**
Broadly Compliant**Observations**

No dangerous substances observed on the premises.

Article 13 - Fire Fighting Equipment**Safety Evaluation**
Broadly Compliant**Observations**

At the time of the audit the number and type of extinguishers was appropriate to the hazards presented by nature of the business being carried out on the premises. The fire-fighting equipment was easily accessible, simple to use and indicated by signs. There is a sufficient number of nominated competent persons to implement the measures.

Article 16 - Measures for dealing with dangerous substances affecting general fire precautions

Safety Evaluation	Observations
Broadly Compliant	None

Article 18 - Safety assistance

Safety Evaluation	Observations
Broadly Compliant	At the time of the audit there was evidence provided by the manager that an adequate number of competent persons and arrangements are in place to assist with the undertaking of preventative and protective measures All maintenance and servicing is recorded in a fire logbook by the RP.

Article 19 - Provision of information to employees

Safety Evaluation	Observations
Broadly Compliant	Employees are provided with a suitable level of fire safety information. This is provided in the form of fire action notices throughout the premises and training.

Article 20 - Provision of information to employers and the self employed from outside undertakings

Safety Evaluation	Observations
Broadly Compliant	All contractors are supervised at all times.

Article 22 - Co-operation and co-ordination

Safety Evaluation	Observations
Not Applicable	None

Article 23 - General duties of employees at work

Safety Evaluation	Observations
Broadly Compliant	Evidence suggested that reasonable care is being taken by employees to prevent harm to others, who may be affected by their acts or omissions at work. The RP is identified as the person on the premises with specific responsibility for safety. Any deficiencies noted by other employees should report findings to them.

Article 37 - Fire fighters switches for luminous tube signs

Safety Evaluation	Observations
Not Applicable	None

Article 38 - Maintenance of measures provided for protection of fire fighters

Safety Evaluation	Observations
Not Applicable	None

Article 24 - Power to make regulations

Safety Evaluation	Observations
Not Applicable	None

Article 27 - Powers of inspectors

Safety Evaluation	Observations
Not Applicable	None

Article 29 - Current alterations notices

Safety Evaluation	Observations
Not Applicable	None

Article 30 - Current enforcement notices

Safety Evaluation	Observations
Not Applicable	None

Article 31 - Current prohibition notices

Safety Evaluation	Observations
Not Applicable	None

Article 32 - Offences

Safety Evaluation	Observations
Not Applicable	None

Overall safety standard

Low Risk

Management compliance level

Management Compliance Level	1 - Well above average
Initial Expectation	Verbal action
Considered EMM?	Yes
Confirmed Action	Verbal action

Audit Conclusion

Date audit carried out

15/04/26

Time of audit

12:30

Reason for audit;

FS01 following TCO contact.

JS10.02

Conclusion

I attended the audit alone

I met site staff member [REDACTED]

Pre audit checks included:

- Farynor
- IMS
- Imapping
- LFB Data
- Companies House. 09059834
- Google search

The fire safety audit at the Pizzavala, Station Road was completed with the cooperation of site management and demonstrated an overall effective approach to fire safety management. Appropriate pre audit checks were undertaken, and full access was provided during the visit.

There was no recorded FRA however, evidence suggested that there had been some assessment of the fire risk.

There was a suitable Simultaneous Emergency plan evidenced and training to enforce this. Automatic detection was provided in all areas along with emergency lighting and signage. The protective systems in place including alarm, emergency lighting, extinguishers etc were subject to regular servicing.

Fire protection measures, including automatic detection and warning systems, emergency lighting, and fire safety signage, were in place and subject to routine inspection, testing, and maintenance.

Following a walkaround inspection of the premises and associated spaces I observed that all emergency routes were clear and emergency exits operational. V advice to make sure rear gate was kept unlocked during operating hours.

Following the walk around inspection, findings were discussed during a debrief with premises representatives.

In conclusion, the premises demonstrated a satisfactory level of compliance with fire safety requirements at the time of the audit, with systems and management arrangements generally found to be effective and appropriately maintained.

Extent of premises audited

Seating area of restaurant.

Kitchen and prep area.

Emergency exits and yard.

Justification of audit outcome

At the time of the audit, the Representatives on site demonstrated a proactive attitude toward fire safety and showed a willingness to take on guidance.

Verbal Advice Given

None given

Other Authorities to notify

None

Weeks to Complete Work

N/A

Specific instructions for Admin to Action

None

Compliance calculation & signature

Compliance Level	1 - Well above average
Property Risk Group	B - Sleeping familiar or Licensed Premises
Life Risk	-6.9
Actual Risk Score	3.16
Risk Score	3.25

Audit Timings

Audit Duration
75

Travel Time
105

Post Audit Processing Duration
240